

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

29 January 1973

Honorable Edward I. Koch  
House of Representatives  
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Koch:

This is in response to your letter to Mr. Helms of 28 December 1972, regarding a New York Times story describing some briefings which the Central Intelligence Agency has provided to the New York Police Department, and to your request during our telephone conversation on the same subject on 23 January 1973.

Regarding the first question in your letter, I do not have a precise figure but I can assure you that less than fifty police officers all told, from a total of about a dozen city and county police forces have received some kind of Agency briefing within the past two years.

These briefings have covered a variety of subjects such as the procedures for the processing, analyzing, filing and retrieving information, security devices and procedures, and metal and explosives detection techniques.

These briefings have been provided at no cost to the recipients. Since they have been accomplished merely by making available, insofar as their other duties permit, qualified Agency experts and instructors the cost to the Agency is minimal.

All of these briefings have been conducted in response to the requests of the various recipients. The Agency intends to continue to respond to such requests on matters within its competence and authority, and to the extent possible without interfering with its primary mission.

Regarding the Agency's authority to conduct such briefings, the National Security Act of 1947 (P. L. 80-253, as amended) specifically provides that "the Agency shall have no police, subpoena, law-enforcement powers, or internal-security functions." We do not consider that the activities in question violate the letter or spirit of these restrictions. In our judgment, they are entirely consistent with the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (P. L. 90-351, 42 U.S.C.A. 3701 et seq). In enacting that law it was the declared policy and purpose of Congress "to assist State and local governments in strengthening and improving law enforcement at every level by national assistance" and to "...encourage research and development directed toward the improvement of law enforcement and the development of new methods for the prevention and reduction of crime and the detection and apprehension of criminals" (42 U.S.C.A. 3701). By the same law Congress also authorized the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to use available services, equipment, personnel and facilities of the Department of Justice and of "other civilian or military agencies and instrumentalities" of the Federal Government to carry out its function (42 U.S.C.A. 3756).

The identities of the individual police forces which have attended these briefings have, by mutual agreement, been kept confidential and I would therefore appreciate your treating the information I gave you in our conversation regarding these identities accordingly.

I trust the foregoing information is responsive to your interests, and I will be glad to discuss the matter with you further if you so desire.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

John M. Maury  
Legislative Counsel

STATINTL

FACT SHEET

In the summer of 1972, the NYC Police, with assistance from the Ford Foundation, were establishing an analysis and evaluation unit within their Intelligence Division. At the suggestion of the Foundation representative, the NYC Police sought assistance from the Agency as to the best system for analyzing and evaluating data. We made it clear that CIA had no formal training for outside groups; however, after preliminary discussion with the police, we felt that our techniques and procedures, though involving only foreign intelligence, were basic and could relate to their needs. A four day briefing was then arranged and given to a group from the NYC Police.

The briefing was as follows:

- a. The theory and technique in analyzing and evaluating foreign intelligence data
- b. The role of the analyst
- c. The handling and processing of foreign intelligence information

The briefing was given by our training staff and for the most part included material prepared for new analysts. Any added expense to meet the needs of the police was insignificant. Also, we gave no specific guidance on how their system should be set up, but rather this was our basic approach which they could apply as they saw fit.

There is no provision of law which either grants or denies the Agency the authority to conduct such briefings. As any other Federal agency, we tried to satisfy a request for assistance as best we could. We considered this to be a basic public responsibility. Over the years, we have received a number of requests for assistance on data handling and analytical techniques from outside organizations. These have included other agencies of Government, the Congress and the Judiciary.